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บทคัดย่อ
การศึกษาวิจัยในครั้งนี้มุ่งที่จะนำเสนอข้อมูลขององค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพที่มีตัวอยู่ทั่วกระจายอยู่ตามบริเวณความแตกต่างในด้านเศรษฐกิจ สังคมและการเมืองภายในประเทศเพื่อให้เข้าใจพื้นฐานแนวคิดและแนวทางการขับเคลื่อนขององค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพในประเทศไทยโดยมีที่เป้าหมายหลักคือ องค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพในจังหวัดประจวบคีรีขันธ์ซึ่งได้จากการคัดเลือกแบบเจาะจงจำนวน 11 องค์กร และเป้าหมายรองคือ องค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพที่มีอยู่ทั่วประเทศได้จากการคัดเลือกแบบเจาะจงจำนวน 15 องค์กรและสังเกตการณ์ผู้ที่มีหน้าที่เกี่ยวกับงานการส่งเสริมและพัฒนาองค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพ จากผลการวิจัยสามารถสรุปได้ว่าองค์กรชุมชน
d้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพในประเทศไทยสามารถแบ่งออก...
ได้เป็น 3 รูปแบบตามการขับเคลื่อนด้วยระบบเศรษฐกิจ คือ องค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพที่ขับเคลื่อนด้วยระบบเศรษฐกิจทุนนิยม องค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพที่ขับเคลื่อนด้วยระบบเศรษฐกิจชุมชนและองค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพที่ขับเคลื่อนด้วยระบบเศรษฐกิจสายกลาง ซึ่งตามผลการวิจัยสามารถสังเกตได้เป็นตัวบ่งชี้ประเภทขององค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพที่ขับเคลื่อนด้วยระบบเศรษฐกิจที่แตกต่างกันทั้ง 3 แบบได้ ดังนี้:

1. เกณฑ์ด้านประวัติศาสตร์ พฤติกรรมเปลี่ยนแปลงและวัฒนธรรมองค์กรซึ่งมีตัวบ่งชี้ทั้งหมด 10 ตัว ดังนี้:
   - ในเกณฑ์ด้านการผลิตและการตลาดขององค์กรชุมชนด้านการส่งเสริมอาชีพ มีตัวบ่งชี้ทั้งหมด 12 ตัว ดังนี้:
   - ในเกณฑ์ด้านความมั่นคงทางสังคมและวัฒนธรรมซึ่งมีตัวบ่งชี้ทั้งหมด 9 ตัว ดังนี้:
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Abstract

The objectives of this study are to present the information concerning community organization for career promotion, which exists within the diversities of economic, social and political dimensions in this country; and to understand basic concepts and mobilization of community organization for career promotion in Thailand. The main target population includes 11 community organizations for career promotion in Prachuab Khiri Khan province selected through purposive sampling. The minor target population includes 15 community organizations for career promotion across the country, also selected through purposive sampling. The interview schedule was used for collecting data concerning extension and development for community organization for career promotion.

The result of the study concludes that community organization for career promotion in Thailand can be divided into 3 categories based on economic mobilization: community organization
for career promotion that is mobilized by capitalistic economic system, by intermediate economic system, and by community economic system. Moreover, from the result of this study, the analysis shows that there are 3 types of indicators of community organization for career promotion: firstly, the 10 indicators based on the historical, dynamic change, and organizational culture criteria, secondly, the 12 indicators based on the production and marketing criteria, and thirdly, the 9 indicators based on the socio-cultural relation criteria. The proposed indicators help to identify the types of community organization for career promotion.

**Keywords:** Success Indicators, Career Promotion of Community Organization
Introduction

Thailand opened itself (as Siam at the time) to the world thanks to the influence of Bowring Treaty in 1855. This country has adapted and changed in various aspects under capitalist economic system. (Uiyanon, 2002; Chunphongsathon, 2007) Even though Thailand in the present days has progressed in many ways, but as a result of its 1997 economic crisis, there have been raised questions about imbalances within social, economic, and environmental structures, specifically the Thai population’s income gap. Such situation brings about social deterioration of family institution, community, and local culture (Chongcharoeningyingyong, 2006) as an impact from the development, as Phromphakphing and Thirasasawat (2003) has questioned whether the development under capitalist economic system would lead humanity to prosperity or destruction. More importantly, Thailand still remains with more than 10 million poor population residing in rural area. Mukdasanit (2001) reports that the capitalist economic system enhances the income differences between the urban and the rural populations. Profits from development projects are distributed among the government officials, international investors, and capitalists rather than in the hands of the rural. Natsupha (2003) reports that during these 50 years of Thai economic system after World War II (1945) up until the present, there has been a gradual change into capitalist economic system, yet the local are able to maintain their community economic system, by adopting and adjusting themselves in various ways, so that the community economic system becomes a parallel operation along with the capitalist economic system.
The problems that are caused by adopting capitalist economic system may need community economic system to solve. For many years, Thai government has been attempting to promote and combine multi-policies, which help local communities to develop. Most of the policies encourage communities to become strong and self-sustaining by producing unique products from their local resources and increasing added value (Thanarak et al., 2004). The One Tambon One Product Project (OTOP) started in 2001 in order to enhance the employment rate and income earning at grassroot level, to establish the prosperity and the strength within community to be equipped with self-reliance and creative thinking, and to promote the local wisdom, local resource management, and human resource development. (National One Tambon One Product Committee, 2004) Limsakun (No-year) reports that One Tambon One Product Project (OTOP) is one of the guidelines to community progresses, which can uplift the everyday living condition of rural people. Such claim is similar to Uphoff’s (1986) who points out that the rural gathering is greatly important and is a tool for their survivals. Therefore, nowadays the community organizations change and start their community businesses. The people within community gather to establish the community organization for career promotion. Wasi (1993) reports that, the summary of community organization significance is that organization and learning process are the heart of sustainable development, which simultaneously promotes the economy, mentality, politics, society, culture and environment.

Phongphit (2005) states that Small and Micro Community Enterprise (SMCE) refers to the entrepreneurship by a group or a community organization in order to manage community fund,
as well as resources, products, knowledge, local wisdom, and social and cultural capital efficiently and sustainably. Walaisatien and associates (2000) report that community business is the activity in which a person or a community organization share ownership and/or participates some or all of its operation, by coordinating with various organizations and by learning and managing the production, transformation, trading and services, in order to develop the economy in relation to the social and cultural aspects, natural resources and the environment of the community. Similarly, Hutanuwat and Hutanuwat (2004) state that community business can be a daily exercise for solving problem, stimulating the creativeness and development process. Such process allows the gathering and stimulation of the intellectuality of those participated.

As it can be seen, the terms “Small and Micro Community Enterprise” and “Community Business” resemble each other, and thus could be used interchangeably (Setsiam, 2007). This research uses the terms small and micro community enterprise and career promotion of community organization, as an organization that operates the community enterprise. Somwatthanasak (2005) has summarized the definition of community enterprise, as a group or community organization enterprise with shared relation or way of life in production, service, and etc. The impact of such economic system creates a strong and sustainable economic system at grassroot level based on 3 principles:

1. The community enterprise is community activities allowing the community’s self-reliance.

2. The entrepreneur must focus on utilizing the local wisdom
in connection with the way of life and community needs.

3. The community organization should focus on maximizing the opportunities and increasing income at family, organization, network, and community levels.

Although there are various community organizations for career promotion in Thailand, but how they have adjusted themselves within the dual economic system is yet to be examined. Kanchanaphan (2001) states that such problems cannot be solved with an idealistic answer, but a serious examination and an empirical research. The research should start, not by attaching the responsibility of the problem to either the rural or the capitalists, but studying the complex relationships between the rural society and external economic and political system.

The Objective of the Study

The objectives of this study are to present the information about community organization for career promotion, which exists within the economic, social, and political differences, and to understand the concepts and mobilization of community organization for career promotion in Thailand.

Research Methodology

Location and case study

1. Main target area of this study is Prachuab Khiri Khan province consisted of 11 community organizations for career promotion selected through the purposive sampling. The criteria of selecting the case study are as follows:
- The community organizations for career promotion with different levels of production and marketing development
- The community organizations for career promotion with production and service concerning transforming agricultural crops and community handcrafts, Include as follows:
  1. Nong Krating waste Development group kuiburi district
  2. Hand-woven textile conservation ban chalermppakiat Hua Hin District
  3. Community stores and gas station ban huatantaw Samroiyod District
  4. Raimai farmer’s Housewives Group Samroiyod District
  5. Coconut Processing Groups Samroiyod District
  6. Coconut fiber broom manufactures Samroiyod District
  7. Chilli paste producer community enterprise groups Pranburi District
  8. Khaonoi Agronomy Farmer Group Pranburi District
  9. Nongka Samukke farmer’s housewives Group Pranburi District
  10. Khaonoi Pattana farmer’s housewives Group Pranburi District
  11. Hormok grilled seafood community enterprise groups Muang District

2. Minor target area of this study is 15 community organizations for career promotion across the country selected through the purposive sampling. The criteria of selecting the case study were as follows:

- The community organizations for career promotion available at typical market fairs
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- The community organizations for career promotion with different levels of production and marketing development
- The community organizations for career promotion with production and service concerning transforming agricultural crops and community handcrafts, Include as follows:
  1. Maihieng Lanna Candle Chiang Mai Province
  2. Sugar plam production career promotion of community organization Nakonsawan Province
  3. Cattle cluster Pichit Province
  4. Tungsamor Women career promotion of community organization Kanchanaburi Province
  5. Munglek farmer’s housewives community enterprise groups Saraburi Province
  6. Chalhom Coast Boat Artificial Samutsakorn Province
  7. Native cotton and Petakhon Souvenir Loei Province
  8. Saway housewives Group Surin Province
  9. Ban Kwaw Jaroen women cooperative Chaiyapum Province
  10. Benjarong Thai Silk Khonkhaen Province
  11. Ban Mung Khai Plastic basket Product community enterprise groups Mungdahan Province
  12. Nongtaros women development Rayong Province
  13. Theptaro wood Product community enterprise groups Trung Province
  14. Women group of Tajin community Trung Province
  15. RakKhon Group Nakonsrithammarat Province

3. The interview schedule was conducted with people who participated in the promotion and development of community...
organizations for career promotion.

3.1 Instructor Surattana Noisri, Profession and Training Development Center at the boundary of Chumphon Province
3.2 Mrs. Rattanaphon Wantem, Manager of OTOP center, Pranburi District and Manager of 4 Regional OTOP Networks
3.3 Mr. Suksan Mukdasanit, Previous Director of Farmer Development Office, Department of Agricultural Extension
3.4 Mrs. Chuthaphon Sriwiphat, Director of Home Economic Development Works, Department of Agricultural Extension
3.5 Mr. Prawit Chondam, Expert Agricultural Extension Worker, Farmer Development Office, Department of Agricultural Extension

Research Procedure

The qualitative research was applied to the study as following steps:

1. The study and collection of secondary data from the documents, book, related electronic data for the data concerning development process of community organizations for career promotion, economic dynamism and mobilization, success and failure of community organization for career promotion in Thailand

2. The study of learning process, activity and business implementation strategy of community organization for career promotion, by using the data from the interviews with leaders of organizations and communities, committees and members who have duties related to the development of community organization for career promotion; as well as observation of the production process and each case study’s marketing
3. The data was analyzed and categorized; the types of community organization for career promotion mobilized by different economic systems by using the qualitative criteria, which are 1) historical, dynamic change and organizational culture criteria, 2) production and marketing criteria, and 3) the socio-cultural relation criteria.

4. The synthesis of the types of community organization for career promotion mobilized by different economic systems by using integrated indicator.

**Result and Discussion**

**Community Organization for Career Promotion and Economic Mobilization**

The analysis of economic mobilization of community organization for career promotion in Thailand has found that, community organizations for career promotion can be divided into those mobilized by capitalistic economic system, and those by community economic system. Natsupha (2001) proposes the two-system economy theory, in which Thailand is consisted of a community economic system which differs from capitalist economic system. Community economic system is across the nation, not only for local areas. Such dual economy is not temporary but permanent and sustainable. Chunphongsathon (2007) also reports that the term “community economic system” can be misunderstood, as Thailand’s main economy system is still the capitalist system, thus civil documents mostly use the term “small and micro community enterprise” instead. Also, Phromphakphing and Thirasasawat (2003) states that the existence of family and community economic systems leads to the theoretical discovery in which Thailand
has two-system economy, which are “the government and capital system” and the local “community system” or it could be called a dual economy system. This indicates that community economy is but another system separated from capitalist system, and it covers both regional and national levels, not only the community.

Moreover, the researcher also found that Thailand's community organizations for career promotion are not mobilized by only the said two types of economic system, but also by intermediate economic system (Figure 2) of which Charoenwongsak (2003) has defined as the middle path economic system; a free economic system based on self-reliance. There are three main characters as follows: 1) a free economy based on self-reliance for survival factors 2) a free economy based on manufacturing base which is a strength of the country 3) an economy ready for adjustment, similar to a free economy in usual time, but becoming self-reliant in case of crisis. Kanchanaphan (2006) states that one of the economic culture of the rural actual practices, is their ability to integrate various economic solutions in order to flexibly confront the changes rather than fixed to only one solution. Thongyu (2003) reports as well that, despite the fact that the slow entrepreneurial activities significantly affect the villages, and although small family farms will sustain in the villages and class distinction will develop slowly, this does not mean that agricultural society will remain the same. The gradual changes of rural economic system will connect to the capitalist economic system, even though the farmers try to maintain the role of the family. Phetprasert (1999) reports that the middle path economic is the Buddhist economic solution including generosity and honesty while excluding exploitation and
corruption, and the profits are not the sole purpose but will be distributed back to the social, environmental and cultural preservation.

![Figure 1](image-url)

**Figure 1 Percentage of Community Organization for Career Promotion Mobilized by Different Economic System**

Various academics agree that the intermediate economic system is a proper system for Thailand. Premanot (2001) points out that the middle path between globalization and localization are a new strategy, which revolutionizes economic policy application, benefitting from globalization but at the same time focusing on sustainable development. Charoenwongsak (2003) reports that Thailand cannot use only one extreme economic system; neither an extremely free economy, nor any extreme minor economic system. Therefore, the intermediate economic system seems to serve the present situation in Thai society (Figure 2). Such concept also corresponds the new global direction of “Postmodernity”. This era started at the end of 20th century, which explains the multicultural society, looking at cyber technology and presenting unpredictable visions and no regulation (Chanthawanit, 2009). Kanchanaphan (2001) also presents that the post-Cold War world would show a tendency to set a new order, bringing about
imbalances and new non-regulation state due to senses of patriotism and ethnic consciousness. This corresponds the Chaos Theory in which under the non-equilibrium state, the new social order process would prevail eventually. Such organization has the potential to stay against the current of government power and marketing system, becoming a new direction of the society (Thiraphan, 1994). Wilaithong and associates (2004) present that the future world will change into two cultural systems existing side by side, which are the international culture of metropolitan and regional cities, and the culture of localism, regionalism and sub-regionalism, which are inseparable form the global aspect. (Figure 3)

**The objective of community organization for career production**

*is to uplift the quality of living in the community*

1. **Capitalist economy**
   - Production and service using fund, knowledge, technology from outside
   - Market and customers determine production
   - Dependent on external market, high competition

2. **Intermediate economy**
   - Implementation as organization and community plan
   - Freedom in decision of production and service
   - Depend on internal market but not deny external market

3. **Community economy**
   - Receive support from government and private sector
   - Participation in decision, sacrifice makes less freedom
   - Depend on internal community and network
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![Flow Chart]

**Figure 2** The Results of this Study can be Written as a Flow Chart of Entrepreneurship and Mobilization of Community Organization for Career Promotion with Different Economic System
Figure 3 The Results of this Study can be Written as a Relationship of Entrepreneurship and Mobilization of Community Organization for Career Promotion with Different Economic System.
Indicators of types of community organization for career promotion mobilized by different economic systems

Through the synthesis of community organization for career promotion mobilized by different economic systems by using integrated indicators, with three qualitative criteria which are 1) historical, dynamic change and organizational culture criteria 2) production and marketing criteria, and 3) socio-cultural relation criteria, indicators can be created as follows:

1) 10 indicators of historical, dynamic change and organizational culture criteria
2) 12 indicators of production and marketing criteria, and
3) 9 indicators of socio-cultural relation criteria

Summary

The research has concluded that there are 3 types of community organization for career promotion in Thailand, classified by economic system:

1. Capitalistic economy community organization for career promotion
2. Community economy community organization for career promotion
3. Intermediate economy community organization for career promotion

The result of the study presents the synthesized indicators criteria of historical, dynamic change and organizational culture, production and marketing, and socio-cultural relation of community organization for career promotion. Such indicators can help classify community
Table 1 Description of Indicators of Historical, Dynamic Change and Organizational Culture Criteria of Community Organization for Career Promotion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic System Indicators</th>
<th>Capitalist Economy</th>
<th>Intermediate Economy</th>
<th>Community Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historical background</td>
<td>As the market’s need</td>
<td>Established by people in the community, often as a result of previous funds within community, some were supported by government and private sectors</td>
<td>Kinship system as the starting point, with support from government and private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic concept</td>
<td>Capitalism-Consumerism</td>
<td>Buddhist middle path economy</td>
<td>Community economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership concept</td>
<td>Resources belong to government and private sector only</td>
<td>Resources are able to be shared, used, preserved, some part of them can be privately owned, money is needed in trading</td>
<td>Resources are able to be shared, used, preserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investment concept</td>
<td>Self-reliant system: loaning with collateral</td>
<td>Establishing sense of organization ownership through stocks and shares, accepting appropriate support from outsider</td>
<td>Patronage system, accepting fund from outside</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 1 Description of Indicators of Historical, Dynamic Change and Organizational Culture Criteria of Community Organization for Career Promotion (Con’t)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic System Indicators</th>
<th>Capitalist Economy</th>
<th>Intermediate Economy</th>
<th>Community Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal</td>
<td>Profits</td>
<td>Uplifting quality of living both organization and community members</td>
<td>Uplifting quality of living both organization and community members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketing concept</td>
<td>Believing in free market</td>
<td>Using integrated market, both external and internal of community</td>
<td>Believing in internal market, using group network and support from external organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management concept</td>
<td>Economic return</td>
<td>Sacrifice with limitation</td>
<td>Sacrifice as principal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measurement concept</td>
<td>Individual profits</td>
<td>Member’s profits and distributed to community</td>
<td>Focusing on community’s security, profit as by-product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational structure</td>
<td>Mostly individual ownership</td>
<td>Clear formal groups, distributing duties, accepting opinions, focusing on participation</td>
<td>Informal group, collective decision, decision made not by an individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal communication</td>
<td>Formal communication</td>
<td>Informal communication</td>
<td>Informal communication</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Description of Indicators of Production and Marketing Criteria of Community Organization for Career Promotion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic System</th>
<th>Capitalist Economy</th>
<th>Intermediate Economy</th>
<th>Community Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PRODUCTION</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production decision</td>
<td>Depending on one person</td>
<td>Free decision focusing on the participation</td>
<td>Focusing on participation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw materials</td>
<td>Materials both from local and external</td>
<td>Mainly using local materials in transactions</td>
<td>Using local material as public sacrifices, or using natural resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production unit</td>
<td>Appropriate location, proper for standard system</td>
<td>Appropriate location established and funded by organization</td>
<td>No permanent location, or only makeshift ones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor</td>
<td>Using both external and internal labor with payment</td>
<td>Internal labor, divided profits as payment</td>
<td>Internal labor, both by volunteer and returning profits as payment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantitative production</td>
<td>Regularly, high production</td>
<td>Continuously, moderate production</td>
<td>Discontinuously, low production</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 Description of Indicators of Production and Marketing Criteria of Community Organization for Career Promotion (Con’t)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic System Indicators</th>
<th>Capitalist Economy</th>
<th>Intermediate Economy</th>
<th>Community Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Production technology</strong></td>
<td>High technology, ready to invest for new machines</td>
<td>Open to new technology able to adapt, but not as efficiently as private production</td>
<td>Using technology available in the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product standard</strong></td>
<td>Having concern and developing the standard of production up till national and international</td>
<td>Acquiring only necessary national production standards</td>
<td>Acquiring only production standard related community economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MARKETING</strong></td>
<td>External as well as international market</td>
<td>Both internal and external market (at national level)</td>
<td>Internal market and network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Economic System</td>
<td>Capitalist Economy</td>
<td>Intermediate Economy</td>
<td>Community Economy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MARKETING</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distribution unit</td>
<td>Having own shop, or distributing products via middle-persons, moving shop to places, ready for support from government and private</td>
<td>Having own shop, and regular customers, able to distribute products via network, ready for support from government and private sector</td>
<td>No shop, having to depend on support from government and private sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Product price</td>
<td>Free to specify price, calculating profits from capitals and wages</td>
<td>Free to specify price, calculating profits from capitals and wages</td>
<td>Not free to specify price, often having to name price according to the market and demand, profit calculation often not including wages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brand name</td>
<td>With brand name</td>
<td>With brand name</td>
<td>With brand name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advertising and public relation</td>
<td>Advertisement and public relation via various mass media</td>
<td>No advertisement, guaranteed by quality and reputation</td>
<td>No advertising and public relation through media, word-of-mouth within community</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Description of Indicators of Socio-Cultural Relation Criteria of Community Organization for Career Promotion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Capitalist Economy</th>
<th>Intermediate Economy</th>
<th>Community Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Group potential</td>
<td>Group working</td>
<td>Group potential</td>
<td>Team working</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production relationship</td>
<td>Employer-employee</td>
<td>Each having position and duty, focusing on participation</td>
<td>As family business, all sharing ownership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agreeability between organization activities and</td>
<td>Agreeing to internal problems that the local could not solve by themselves</td>
<td>Agreeing to internal problems and to the way of life within community</td>
<td>Often not agreeing to the community and the area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>community problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community cooperation</td>
<td>No consideration</td>
<td>As positive factors assisting the progress of the organization</td>
<td>Focusing the cooperation from the community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social and cultural relation to the community</td>
<td>As employer or capitalist within community</td>
<td>As a gear that helps driving the community, actively participating as community organization</td>
<td>Local wisdom, resources, way of life, and network are used for mobilizing the organization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economic System
Table 3 Description of Indicators of Socio-Cultural Relation Criteria of Community Organization for Career Promotion (Con’t)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicators</th>
<th>Capitalist Economy</th>
<th>Intermediate Economy</th>
<th>Community Economy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External society’s relation to the community</td>
<td>Business-like</td>
<td>Often selected as examples for inspiration and expansion of other community organizations</td>
<td>Not known nor accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to community</td>
<td>Employment deemed as return to community</td>
<td>Aiming to distribute profits to members and community</td>
<td>Aiming to uplift the quality of living within community, still remotely achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential change of community</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social concept</td>
<td>Liberalism</td>
<td>Anarchy</td>
<td>Utopianism-socialism</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
organization for career promotion in Thailand. Further study should focus on how to create success indicator of community organization for career promotion mobilized by various economic system, since in the present, the success indicators provided by the government offices do not consider the differences of mobilization. The success indicated by the government officials thus is only one-sided to the community. Moreover, it also creates inequality as well as pushes certain types of community organization for career promotion away from success. Therefore, there is a need to find out new success indicators for community organization for career promotion mobilized by different economic systems, so as to create more alternatives to the various community organizations in the future.
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